C	Clause	Evidence for current compliance	Action plan	Lead(s)	Date by
A: RE(CRUITMENT AND SELECTION				
	ole 1: Recognition of the importance o	of recruiting, selecting and retaining researchers with The Research and Knowledge Transfer	the highest potential to achieve excelle No action required until review in 2015	ence in resear Chair of	r ch .
	community should understand that	Strategy 2010 - 2015	No action required until review in 2013	RKTC	onward
	esearchers are chosen primarily for	http://www.brookes.ac.uk/about/strategy/development/			
	heir ability to advance research at an	docs/rkts2010-15.pdf supports the University's 2020			
ir	nstitution.	strategic goal of being 'committed to world-leading			
		research which is exploited and disseminated for the			
		benefit of our communities'. This goal articulates the			
		expectation that all our research, whether pure,			
		applied or pedagogic, is of the highest standard with			
		all researchers aspiring to produce outputs which are recognised externally as world leading, and the			
		belief that our research contributes positively, in a			
		broad sense, to the intellectual capital of the economy			
		and society - local, national and international – and,			
		more narrowly, to the experience of both staff and			
		students.			
2 E	mployers should strive to attract	The University role profiles make researcher role			
	excellence and respect diversity (see	requirements clear and are provided at			
	Principle 6). Recruitment and selection	http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/reward/academi			
	procedures should be informative,	c/index.html		Bob Price,	Ongoir
	ransparent and open to all qualified			Director of	
	applicants regardless of background. Person and vacancy specifications must	The University's recruitment and selection policy and procedure	The University's recruitment and selection policy and procedure will	HR	
		LOTOCHOUTA	I SEIECTION NOTICY AND NIOCEDITE WILL	The state of the s	

	clearly identify the skills required for the post and these requirements should be relevant to the role.	http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/handbook/recruit ment/policy_procedure.html reflects best practice and the University's commitment to equality and diversity.	continue to be reviewed and updated regularly		
		All members of all interview panels at the University must first attend recruitment and selection training (and training refresher courses every 3 years) which includes extensive guidance on Equality and Diversity.	We will continue to monitor compliance and ensure that all panel members receive training	Bob Price, Director of HR	Ongoing
		The annual equality & diversity report, provides an overview of activities to promote diversity and an indication of how equality, diversity and inclusion are embedded throughout the University. These can be viewed at http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/eod/reports/	We will continue to produce an annual equality & diversity report and act on any issues that are highlighted	Bob Price, Director of HR	Ongoing
1.3	Research posts should only be advertised as a fixed-term post where there is a recorded and justifiable reason.	When jobs are advertised, justification for why it is a fixed term post is included. The University's Code of Practice for the Career Management and Development of Researchers http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/handbook/recruit	We will continue to do this	Bob Price, Director of HR	Ongoing
		ment/related policies procedures/career mgt contra ct research staff.html makes a commitment to seek the continuing employment of its research staff and makes funds available to support staff during intervals	Central research funds will continue to be used as bridging funds	PVCR	Ongoing
		between grant funding (bridging funds, see also section 2.4), facilitates appropriate redeployments and encourages career development to enhance external and internal employment prospects. However, the Code has not been revised since 2004.	The University's Code of Practice for the Career Management and Development of Researchers will be reviewed and updated	Susan Brooks, GS & Bob Price, Director of HR	By end of July 2012

1.4	To assure fairness, consistency and the best assessment of the candidates' potential, recruitment and progression panels should reflect diversity as well as a range of experience and expertise. In order to promote these values, individuals who are members of	The University's recruitment and selection policy and procedure http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/handbook/recruit ment/policy_procedure.html gives guidance on the composition of interview panels. It ensures fairness, consistency and the best assessment of the candidates potential.	The University's recruitment and selection policy and procedure will continue to be reviewed and updated regularly	Bob Price, Director of HR	Ongoing
	recruitment and promotion panels should have received relevant recent training. Unsuccessful applicants should be given appropriate feedback if requested as this may be of assistance to the researcher in considering their further career development.	Interview panel members must attend a mandatory 2-day recruitment and selection training, and a one day refresher every three years. The Chair of the panel is responsible for giving individual feedback to all unsuccessful internal candidates, and external applicants if requested.	We will continue to monitor compliance and ensure that all panel members receive training	Bob Price, Director of HR	Ongoing
1.5	The level of pay or grade for researchers should be determined according to the requirements of the post, consistent with the pay and grading arrangements of the research organisation.	The HE Role Analysis methodology (http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/reward/job_eval_uation.html) is used to determine the grading of a post linked to the single pay spine for academic and HE support staff. This provides a transparent and consistent approach to pay and grading according to the requirements of the post. The University role profiles make researcher role requirements clear and can be found at http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/reward/academic/index.html	No action required		

2.1	Employers are encouraged to value and afford equal treatment to all	The University's Code of Practice for the Career Management and Development of Researchers	The University's Code of Practice for the Career Management and	Susan Brooks, GS	By end of July 2012
	researchers, regardless of whether they are employed on a fixed term or similar contract. In particular, employers should ensure that the development of researchers is not undermined by	http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/handbook/recruit ment/related_policies_procedures/career_mgt_contract_research_staff.html ensures that research staff on fixed term contracts are not treated less favourably than other staff. It makes a commitment to seek the	Development of Researchers will be reviewed and updated	& Bob Price, Director of HR	July 2012
	instability of employment contracts. This approach should be embedded throughout all departmental structures and systems	continuing employment of its research staff and makes bridging funds available to research staff during intervals between grant funding (see also section 2.4). However, the Code has not been revised since 2004.	Central research funds will continue to be used as bridging funds	PVCR	Ongoing
2.2	Commitment by everyone involved to improving the stability of employment conditions for researchers and implementing and abiding by the principles and terms laid down in the Fixed Term Employees (Prevention of Less Favourable Treatment) Regulations (2002) and Joint Negotiating Committee for Higher Education Staff (JNCHES) guidance on the use of fixed-term contracts will provide benefits for researchers,	Research staff employed on fixed-term contracts are covered by the statutory regulations for fixed-term work which require that fixed term employees should not be less favourably treated than similar permanent employees in their terms and conditions of employment (unless there is an objective reason to justify the less favourable treatment). The University is also guided by the JNCHES guidance on the use of fixed-term contracts – see http://www.ucu.org.uk/media/pdf/6/d/jnches_fixedtermguidance_1.pdf			
	research managers, and their organisations	As detailed above, sections 1.3 and 2.1, the University has made a commitment to seek the continuing employment of its research staff and makes bridging funds available, facilitates appropriate redeployment and encourages career development to enhance external and internal employment prospects.	See sections 1.3 and 2.1		

2.3	Research managers should be required to participate in active performance management, including career development guidance, and supervision of those who work in their teams. Employers should ensure that research managers are made aware of, and understand their responsibilities for the	In the new University structures, a Research Lead is identified in every department and whose role includes overseeing the managers of research teams and ensuring that they meet their responsibilities to researchers in their teams. The Oxford Centre for Staff & Learning Development (OCSLD) in conjunction with the HR	The Faculty of Health & Life Sciences is developing a policy document and procedures to advise managers of research teams regarding performance management, including career development guidance, and supervision of those who work in their teams.	Prof Linda King, FHLS	End of Sept 2012
	management of researchers and should provide training opportunities, including equality and diversity training, to support research managers in doing this. Institutions will wish to consider how research managers" performance in these areas is developed, assessed	Business Partnership teams provide a suite of courses and support for all its managers and leaders. Details at http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/ocsld/staffcourses/index.html The 'your first three years' programme for newly	Once piloted and developed within the Faculty it will be rolled out to the rest of the University	Chair of RKTC	Second half of academi year 2012-13 onwards
	and rewarded, and how effectively this supports good research management	appointed research active staff provides training in managing projects, project finances and staff. Details at http://www.brookes.ac.uk/res/support/training/academics The Graduate School provides training for supervision of research and research degree students, details at http://www.brookes.ac.uk/brookesnet/graduateoffice/staff/supervisortraining	The 'your first three years' programme will be reviewed and revised	Working group headed by Bob price, Director of HR	Review underwa y. Revised program me begins Oct 2012
		Annual Personal Development and Review (PDR) provides opportunity for colleagues with management responsibilities, to reflect on their work as a leader and for them to identify any development needs. A research leadership course is run approximately once a year to support research leaders in their roles http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/ocsld/staffcourses/ssdp/research.html			

_						
				Research leadership course to be developed annually	PVCR	
						Ongoing
2	2.4	Organisational systems must be capable of supporting continuity of employment for researchers, such as funding between grants, other schemes for supporting time between grant funding, or systems for redeploying	RAE QR funding is divided on receipt: a portion is held centrally ('CRF' – central research fund) and a portion is given to faculties ('faculty QR'). Contributions from both CRF and faculty QR are used to "bridge" researchers between contracts.	Central research funds will continue to be used as bridging funds	PVCR	Ongoing
		researchers within organisations where resources allow. Funders are expected to make it a priority to consider how their policies, guidance and funding can be enhanced to help employers to achieve this objective.	The University redeployment policy http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/handbook/recruit_ment/related_policies_procedures/redeployment/ operates at the end of any fixed term contract.	The University will continue to implement its redeployment policy to support researchers at the end of fixed term contracts.	Bob Price, Director of HR	Ongoing
	2.5	Pay progression for researchers should be transparent and in accordance with procedures agreed between the relevant trade unions and the employers nationally and locally. In HEIs, pay progression will be in accordance with the Framework Agreement, though recognising the flexibility that institutions have in implementing the Framework.	Pay progression for all staff is transparent and in accordance with the JNCHES Framework Agreement. Clear criteria for career progression are provided at http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/reward/academic/index.html	No action required		

2.6	Researchers need to be offered opportunities to develop their own careers as well as having access to additional pay progression. Promotion	Clear criteria for career progression, including postgraduate and postdoctoral research assistant → research fellow → senior research fellow → lecturer etc are provided at	We will seek to raise awareness of this	Bob Price, Director of HR	ongoing
	opportunities should be transparent, effectively communicated and open to all staff. It is helpful if clear career frameworks for early stage researchers are outlined in organisational HR strategies.	http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/reward/academic/index.html	The Faculty of Health & Life Sciences is developing a policy document and procedures to advise those that manage research teams regarding performance management, including career development guidance, and supervision of those who work in their teams.	Prof Linda King, FHLS	End of Sept 2012
			Once piloted and developed within the Faculty it will be rolled out to the rest of the University	Chair of RKTC	2 nd half of academic year 2012-13 onwards

C: SUPPORT AND CAREER DEVELOPMENT

Principle 3: Researchers are equipped and supported to be adaptable and flexible in an increasingly diverse, mobile, global research environment.

Principle 4: The importance of researchers' personal and career development, and lifelong learning, is clearly recognised and promoted at all stages of their career.

3.1	It is recognised that positions of	Staff members with non-permanent research positions	The Faculty of Health & Life Sciences is	Prof Linda	End of
	permanent employment are limited in	are provided with a full training programme (see	developing a policy document and	King, FHLS	Sept
	the UK research and academic	sections 3.3 and 4.11, below). Their job descriptions	procedures to advise managers of		2012
	communities and that not all	allow them to clearly identify themselves as a key part	research teams regarding performance		
	researchers will be able to obtain	of the academic community at Oxford Brookes	management, including career		
	such a position. It is, therefore,	University.	development guidance, and supervision		
	imperative that researcher positions in		of those who work in their teams.		
	the UK are attractive in themselves	The University has a PDR process that facilitates			2 nd half of
	(and not, for example, solely as	detailed advice and discussion on career development	Once piloted and developed within the	Chair of	academic
	potential stepping stones to	and forward planning between research staff and their	Faculty it will be rolled out to the rest of	RKTC	year
	permanent academic positions). This	line managers.	the University		2012-13

	requires that they provide career development which is comparable to, and competitive with, other employment sectors.	The PDR process also monitors that line managers are providing appropriate support and advice to their researchers			onwards
		The University's commitment to the development of its staff is further demonstrated by the achievement of Investors in People (IiP) Gold Status. See management standards at http://www.investorsinpeople.co.uk/Documents/Branding2009/IIP framework summary09.pdf			
3.2	A wide variety of career paths is open to researchers, and the ability to move between different paths is key to a successful career. It is recognised that this mobility brings great benefit to the UK economy and organisations will, therefore, wish to be confident that their culture supports a broad-minded approach to researcher careers and that all career paths are valued equally.	Clear criteria for career progression are provided at http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/reward/academic/index.html These explain how it is possible to move between academic roles. Promotion pathways have recently been revised to ensure that all types of career pathway are equally valued, respected and rewarded. The University Careers Service run two Saturday 'careers focus' events for researchers annually, which includes CV writing and the job application process for academic and non-academic careers. The careers Service also provide one-to-one careers advice, CV feedback and interview practice for researchers applying for academic and non-academic jobs. However, these opportunities are under-used by researchers. Early career stage researchers have the opportunity to attend an annual 2-day 'skills summer school' based on the Vitae 'Gradschool' model, which focuses on communication skills, teamworking, networking skills and academic and non-academic careers, and is	Careers advice provision specifically for researchers will be reviewed and enhanced, and Faculty based (subject specific) careers events will be developed We will seek to raise awareness of careers advice provision for researchers	Dan Ferrett, Careers Service Susan Brooks, GS & Dan Ferrett, Careers Service	Review over summer (Jun-Sept) 2012. Events & awarene ss raising ongoing during academic year 2012-13

		followed by a 'careers' day specifically for researchers.			
		OCSLD provide support for career and personal development, details at https://www2.brookes.ac.uk/services/ocsld/career-dev/ including on-line tools and links to training courses through three portals: i-GROW AUA professional behaviours framework Role related skills 			
3	recognise that researchers need to develop transferable skills, delivered through embedded training, in order to stay competitive in both internal and external job markets. Therefore as well as the necessary training an appropriate skills, competencies and understanding to carry out a funded project, researchers also need support to develop the communication and other professional skills that the	appointed research active staff begins with a half-day induction which includes direction to details about all training available http://www.brookes.ac.uk/res/support/training/index ht ml This includes a wide range of staff development and training courses run by OCSLD, details at http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/ocsld/staffcourses/index.html including, for example, leadership and management training, modern European languages, introduction to consultancy skills, presentation skills	The 'your first three years' programme will be reviewed and revised	working group headed by Bob Price, Director of HR	Review underwa y. Revised program me begins Oct 2012
	will need to be both effective researchers and highly-skilled professionals in whatever field they choose to enter	A research leadership course is run approximately once a year to support research leaders in their roles http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/ocsld/staffcourses/ssdp/research.html	Research leadership course to be developed annually	PVCR	Ongoing
		See also section 4.11			

3.4	All employers will wish to review how their staff can access professional, independent advice on career management in general, particularly the prospect of employment beyond their immediate discipline base, or offering training and placements to broaden awareness of other fields and sectors.	See section 3.2	See section 3.2		
3.5	Researchers benefit from clear systems that help them to plan their career development. Employers and funding bodies should assist researchers to make informed choices about their career progression by ensuring that their own policies and processes for promotion and reward are transparent and clearly stated and that all researchers are aware of local and national career development strategies.	Annual PDR facilitates career development discussions between research staff and their managers Clear criteria for career progression are provided at http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/reward/academic/index.html See also section 3.2	See section 3.2		
3.6	Employers should provide a planned induction programme for researchers, on appointment to a research post, to ensure early effectiveness through the understanding of the organisation and its policies and procedures. They should also ensure that research managers provide effective research environments for the training and development of researchers and encourage them to maintain or start their continuous professional development.	The 'your first three years' programme for newly appointed research active staff begins with a half-day induction which provides an introduction to University structures, key people and support for researchers, and direction to details about all training available http://www.brookes.ac.uk/res/support/training/index_html Induction to the local work environment and role takes place within Faculties and teams. See induction guidelines at http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/handbook/recruitment/induction_new_employees/guidelines_induction.	Induction will be reviewed and revised in view of the University's recent restructuring	Susan Brooks GS & Sarah Taylor RBDO	Review underwa y. Revised program me begins Oct 2012

		html In addition, all new staff attend a 'Welcome to Brookes' half-day induction session, as detailed at http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/ocsld/staffcourses/i nduct.html Annual PDR facilitates career development discussions between research staff and their managers			
3.7	Employers and funders will wish to consider articulating the skills that should be developed at each stage of their staff development frameworks and should encourage researchers to acquire and practice those skills. For example, researchers may be given the opportunity to manage part of the budget for a project, or to act as a mentor or advisor to other researchers and students.	Job descriptions articulate skills and responsibilities needed at different stages of researcher career pathway and are provided at http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/reward/academic/index.html While the PI retains responsibility for the budget, in some teams responsibility may be devolved to researchers and, similarly, in some teams, researchers may act as mentor or advisor to others. Such responsibilities are reviewed at annual PDR	No action required		
3.8	Employers also should provide a specific research career development strategy for researchers at all stages of their career, regardless of their contractual situation, which should include the availability of mentors involved in providing support and guidance for the personal and professional development of researchers. All researchers should be familiar with such provisions and arrangements.	Research staff have access to the Brookes mentoring scheme, details at http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/mentoring/index.html However, the scheme appears to be little used by research staff	Develop mentoring scheme specifically for researchers	Prof Linda King, FHLS, on behalf of the Associate Deans Group	Review of current practice underwa y. New scheme to begin Sept 2012

3.9	Research managers should actively encourage researchers to undertake Continuing Professional Development (CPD) activity, so far as is possible within the project. It should be stressed that developmental activity can often have a direct impact on the success of the project, by distributing work, taking advantage of individual strengths and talents, and increasing the skill and effectiveness of researchers in key areas such as writing for publication or communicating with a wider audience. Funding bodies acknowledge that the training of researchers is a significant contribution to research output and they encourage employers and mentors to adopt these practices.	The University's PDR process requires staff to record the outcomes of their peer enhancement and professional dialogue activities during the past year as well as other professional development activities, such as attending and presenting at conferences. Development for staff is also provided in line with the Faculty's Learning and Development Plan and staff are able to bid for staff development funding eg. conference attendance, through their Faculty/Department staff development fund.	Review and update of Faculty Learning and Development plans post restructure.	Chair of RKTC	By Sept 2012
4.10	Researchers should be empowered by having realistic understanding of, and information about, their own career development and career direction options as well as taking personal responsibility for their choices at the appropriate times. Employers should introduce appraisal systems for all researchers for assessing their professional performance on a regular basis and in a transparent manner. It is important that researchers have access to honest and transparent advice on their prospects for success in their preferred career.	The University's PDR process facilitates career development discussions between research staff and their managers. The University's Code of Practice for the Career Management and Development of Researchers http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/handbook/recruitment/related_policies_procedures/career_mgt_contract_research_staff.html provides general guidance to managers and research staff about the way in which the University wishes to handle the employment and career development of colleagues employed wholly or mainly to carry out research. However, the Code has not been revised since 2004.	The University's Code of Practice for the Career Management and Development of Researchers will be reviewed and updated	Susan Brooks, GS & Bob Price, Director of HR	By end of July 2012

development activities open to researchers include preparation for academic practice. Employers should take measures to ensure broad recognition of CPD schemes from other employing organisations as far as possible, so that researchers are not duly disadvantaged when moving from one employer to another. Training and provailable to resulting the first of				
recognition of CPD schemes from other employing organisations as far as possible, so that researchers are not duly disadvantaged when moving from one employer to another. The 'your first to appointed researchers are topics relevant - induction induction in training degree Other opporturinclude: Training researchers are not duly disadvantaged when moving from one employer to another. The 'your first to appointed researchers are topics relevant - induction in training degree Other opporturinclude: Training researchers are not duly disadvantaged when moving from one employer to another.	erience and training is taken into n individual basis, when planning ammes for individual researchers.	No action required Training opportunities will be regularly	Susan	Annually
not duly disadvantaged when moving from one employer to another. The 'your first to appointed reset topics relevant - inductic structures researed to appointed reset topics relevant - good programmed training degree of the opportuninclude: - training researed topics relevant - training researed topics relevant - training degree of the opportuninclude: - training researed the programmed topics and the programmed topics appointed reset topics relevant - good programmed topics and the programmed topics appointed reset topics relevant - training degree of the programmed topics and the programmed topics appointed reset topics relevant - good programmed topics and the programmed topics appointed reset topics relevant - training degree of the programmed topics and the programmed topi	esearch staff are summarised at pokes.ac.uk/res/support/training/academi	reviewed and revised	Brooks, GS	7 timedily
http://v - Statistics	practice in grant writing ging projects, project finances and staff ng for teaching (see section 4.12) ng for supervising research and research ne students unities, open to all research active staff, ng provided by the Graduate Office for rch supervisors www.brookes.ac.uk/brookesnet/graduat ne/staff/supervisortraining D staff development courses www.brookes.ac.uk/services/ocsld/staffc s/index.html ng provided by computer services 2.brookes.ac.uk/services/cs/training/train	The 'your first three years' programme will be reviewed and revised	Working group headed by Bob Price, Director of HR	Review underwa y. Revised program me begins Oct 2012

_						
	4.12	Employers will ensure that where researchers are provided with teaching and demonstrating opportunities as part of their career development, suitable training and support is provided.	All research active staff who teach receive compulsory training in teaching provided by OCSLD. 'First steps in teaching' provides a basic introduction to the discipline. Those who undertake more than 50 hours of teaching activity (including marking and preparation time) benefit from the Associate Teachers course. This provides an entry route to the Postgraduate Certificate in Teaching in Higher Education (PCTHE) which is taken by academic staff on 0.5fte or more contracts, is nationally recognised and is accredited by the Higher Education Academy. All details at http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/ocsld/staffcourses/learning_teaching/index.html	No action required		
	4.13	Employers and researchers can often benefit if researchers have an input into policy and practice through appropriate representation at staff meetings and on organisation and management committees.	Researchers are welcomed to staff meetings and are formally represented (by one of their number) on both Faculty Research & Knowledge Transfer Committees (RKTCs) and at the main University RKTC.	In view of the recent University restructuring, we will review the mechanisms by which researcher input is disseminated	PVCR	Agenda item RKTC's during academic year 2012-13
			Researcher feedback is collected through CROS, although in the 2011 CROS only 16% of research staff participated.	Encourage greater participation in CROS, mediated through Faculty research leads	Chair of RKTC	CROS 2013
,	4.14	Mentoring arrangements should be supported by employers as a key mechanism for career development and enhancement	Research staff have access to the Brookes mentoring scheme, details at http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/mentoring/index.html However, the scheme appears to be little used by research staff	Develop mentoring scheme specifically for researchers	Prof Linda King, FHLS on behalf of the Associate Deans Group	Review of current practice underwa y. New scheme to begin Sept 2012

	-	e responsibility for and need to pro-actively engage in	their own personal and career developme	ent and lifel	ong
5.1	Researchers are employed to advance knowledge and should exercise and develop increased capacity for independent, honest and critical thought throughout their careers.	Research role profiles http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/reward/academi c/index.html, articulate the responsibilities and expectations of research staff. The University's Code of Practice for Academic Integrity http://www.brookes.ac.uk/res/policy/academic_integrit y.pdf including procedures for investigating allegations of misconduct in research as well as outlining good practice See also section 2.3	We will continue to review the University's Code of Practice for Academic Integrity on a regular basis	PVCR	Ongoin g
5.2	Researchers should develop their ability to transfer and exploit knowledge where appropriate and facilitate its use in policy making and the commercialisation of research for the benefit of their employing organisation, as well as the wider society and economy as a whole.	As articulated in the University Research and Knowledge Transfer Strategy, http://www.brookes.ac.uk/about/strategy/development/docs/rkts2010-15.pdf, researchers are encouraged to undertake knowledge transfer and commercialisation activities alongside their research. The Research and Business Development Office (RBDO) http://www.brookes.ac.uk/res/support offers	No action required until review in 2015	Chair of RKTC	2015

		professional support to researchers with the commercialisation of their research. The support includes mentoring and coaching of researchers in the principles of commercialisation and with the effective commercial engagement with external organisations. A team approach is adopted bringing together relevant skills and producing a team learning environment. The office also provides specific training and development for researchers in consultancy. Impact statements for the Research Excellence Framework and for Research Councils are used to collect information and feed back to Faculties			
5.3	Researchers should recognise their responsibility to conduct and disseminate research results in an honest and ethical manner and to contribute to the wider body of knowledge	The University's Code of Practice for Academic Integrity http://www.brookes.ac.uk/res/policy/academic_integrity.pdf makes a commitment to the general public who volunteer to be part of any research study that requires ethical approval that each researcher (staff member and/or post-graduate student) will make available to them a summary of their research findings and any published work at the conclusion of a project. This commitment to public accountability and open access is overseen by the University Research Ethics Committee (UREC) http://www.brookes.ac.uk/res/ethics/committee on behalf of the Pro Vice Chancellor for Research, and reports not less than once a semester to the University Research and Knowledge Transfer Committee (RKTC). UREC meets once a month for ten months of the academic year. It is the responsibility of the Committee, delegated to the Chair, to ensure that as part of the ethical review process members of the University community always declares their intention to disseminate their research outputs. This can be via	We will continue to monitor compliance in terms of 'chasing' annual reports from those engaged in UREC-approved projects and reminding them of the importance of their public engagement obligations.	Chair of UREC	ongoing

a project web site, online journal articles, or making available an electronic copy of research findings emailed to research subjects, generally on request. Ethical approval is not given unless the researcher agrees to this at the start of the research process. All research outputs are then monitored annually by the Assistant Dean for Research in each Faculty in conjunction with the Research Leads in each subject area. UREC also requests for audit purposes an annual report from each active research study that had ethical approval. This is a checking mechanism to try to ensure that each researcher has complied with the University commitment to public engagement, broadly defined.

Recently the University has set up a central repository for published outputs called RADAR http://radar.brookes.ac.uk/radar/access/home.do?. It encourages all staff and PhD students to enter the bibliographic details of their publications and where feasible for copyright reasons to put research outputs on open access.

The Chair of UREC also runs several training sessions on ethics in conjunction with the Graduate School

http://www.brookes.ac.uk/brookesnet/graduateoffice/current/research/training and as part of the 'your first three years' programme for new researchers http://www.brookes.ac.uk/res/support/training/academi

c. During those sessions, the importance of disseminating research is stressed.

5.4	Researchers should also be aware that the skills and achievements required to move on from a research position may not be the same as the skills and achievements which they have displayed to reach that position	Role profiles and academic career pathways http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/reward/academic/index.html , make this clear. Skills development to support careers progression is supported by researcher training and careers advice, see also section 3.2 and 3.3	Develop mentoring scheme specifically for researchers	Prof Linda King, FHLS on behalf of the Associat e Deans Group	Review of current practice underw ay. New scheme to begin Sept 2012
			The Faculty of Health & Life Sciences is developing a policy document and procedures to advise managers of research teams regarding performance management, including career development guidance, and supervision of those who work in their teams. Once piloted and developed within the Faculty it will be rolled out to the rest of the University	Prof Linda King, FHLS Chair of RKTC	End of Sept 2012 2 nd half of academ ic year 2012-13 onward s
5.5	Researchers should recognise that the primary responsibility for managing and pursuing their career is theirs. Accordingly, they should identify training needs and actively seek out opportunities for learning and development in order to further that	The underpinning ethos of our strategy for researchers is that they are truly <i>independent</i> researchers, and therefore hold the primary responsibility for their career development. A range of help and assistance mechanisms, outlined in the rest of this document, are available and all researchers are encouraged to use everything at their disposal, but the	No action required		5

	career and take personal responsibility for their choices. Research managers and employers also have a responsibility to provide honest advice and appropriate structures, and to equip researchers with the tools to manage their own careers. Research managers should encourage research staff under their supervision to attend appropriate training and career development courses and events.	emphasis is clearly on individual researchers to take responsibility for their own career development. Line managers of researchers are expected not only to provide informal advice and recommendations, but also to strongly encourage attendance at events that assist career development and these issues are addressed in annual PDR		
5.6	Researchers should ensure that their career development requirements and activities are regularly discussed, monitored and evaluated throughout the year in discussion with their research manager and mentor, and that they commit themselves fully to all such activities. Researchers are encouraged to record their Personal Development Planning (PDP) and CPD activities, a log of which may be presented to current and future employers as appropriate.	PDR is regarded as mandatory for all, so researchers automatically take part in this aspect of career planning and development. Discussion of future destinations and jobs is regarded as an essential part of the PDR process. Researchers have access to a range of training and development activities, see sections 3.2 and 3.3. Attendance records are kept and training is reviewed and planned at PDR. A record of training and professional development activities is recorded on all staff 'PIP' ('personal information portal) pages http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/handbook/accessto.systems/pip.html	See section 5.4 See sections 3.2 and 3.3	

E: DIVERSITY AND EQUALITY
Principle 6: Diversity and equality must be promoted in all aspects of the recruitment and career management of researchers.

	1		-		
6.1	The UK legislative framework outlaws discrimination on the basis of age, disability, sex, sexual orientation, race or religion. It also requires public bodies to take positive steps to promote equality, based on evidence and priorities, and to develop specific schemes and action plans related to gender, race and disability to address specific issues of underrepresentation or lack of progression	The University embraces the spirit of all equalities legislation and codes of practice, ensures that all its policies and practices meet the requirement and intent of all relevant legislation and is committed to developing policies, practices and procedures which actively promote equality of opportunity and maximise the abilities, skills, and experience of staff, students and visitors to the University. See the Equal Opportunities and Diversity pages at http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/eod/index.html The Centre for Diversity Policy Research and Practice http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/cdprp/specialises in research and knowledge transfer activities in the area of equal rights in employment and diversity management in the workplace	No action required		
6.2	As is the case for society as a whole, UK research will benefit from increasing equality and diversity in the recruitment and retention of researchers. The Concordat encourages the recruitment and retention of researchers from the widest pool of available talent, including those from diverse backgrounds.	The University's Recruitment and Selection Policy http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/handbook/recruit ment/policy_procedure.html aims to: •To secure the widest possible response to employment vacancies. •To encourage candidates from all sections of the community to apply for University vacancies. •To select the most suitable candidate to carry out the duties of the post •To make selection decisions which do not discriminate unfairly against any group or individual. •To give a good impression of the University as a professional and progressive organisation. All staff who sit on interview panels are required to attend recruitment and selection	We will continue to monitor the success of its Policy and will present data to the University Executive Board on a regular basis	Bob Price, Director of HR	Ongoin g

		training which includes specific emphasis on equality and diversity.			
6.3	It should be emphasised that the demanding nature of research careers has a disproportionate effect on certain groups. We strongly recommend that all members of the UK research community actively address the disincentives and indirect obstacles to retention and progression in research careers which may disproportionately impact on some groups more than others.	See sections 6.4 and 6.5 below. This will also be reviewed as part of the annual Equality and Diversity Reports http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/eod/reports/ and actions addressed.	We will continue to produce an annual equality & diversity report and act on any issues that are highlighted	Bob Price, Director of HR	Ongoin g
6.4	Employers should ensure that the working conditions for researchers provide the flexibility necessary for successful research performance in line with legal requirements. Employers should recognise that for parents and others who have taken career breaks, including parental leave, have worked part-time, or have taken atypical routes into research, the "early career" period may be prolonged, and this may be a time where the risk of attrition from the research path is most acute. Working conditions should allow both female and male researchers to combine family and work, children and career	We have developed policies to support all staff in achieving a good work-life balance. The University has a comprehensive flexible working policy http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/handbook/workinghours/flex/index.html. which goes beyond the statutory minimum and allows any member of staff to request flexible working, subject to operational requirements. We support staff in their family life through our maternity leave, parental leave and paternity, maternity and adoption support leave policies. The Centre for Diversity Policy Research and Practice http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/cdprp/ has carried out research into women's under-			

		representation in senior roles in higher education, and developed interventions. The Oxford Association for Women in Science and Engineering (AWiSE) is an organisation of women working in science and engineering in the Oxford area. It functions as a support network for women working in science and technology as well as reaching out into the wider community to show that women can do science successfully and with great enjoyment. Links to AWiSE are provided through the University Equal Opportunities and Diversity pages at http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/eod/genderequality/ The University has been a member of the Athena Swan Charter since 2009	We are working to achieve Athena Swan 'bronze' status	Working group headed by Prof Linda King, FHLS	Already underw ay, comple te by July 2012
6.5	It is important for employers to respond flexibly to requests for changed work patterns and to resist instant refusals on the assumption that, because research has always been carried out in a particular way, it cannot be done differently	The University has a comprehensive flexible working policy: http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/handbook/workinghours/flex/index.html see also section 6.4	No action required		
6.6	Funders should continue to ensure that their funding mechanisms and policies are adapted to changing diversity and equality legislation and guidance, for example in their	We agree! Pl's will contact the funder directly. If the funder will not support the leave, University policy http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/handbook/family/parental.html supports parental leave for all staff.	No action required		

	provision of additional funding and				
	duration of grant to cover paternity and				
	adoptive leave as well as maternity				
	leave				
6.7	Employers should aim for a	The University policy, procedures and guidelines for	No action required		
	representative balance of gender,	the recruitment and selection of staff are based on the			
	disability, ethnicity and age at all levels	University's Equal Opportunity and Diversity statement			
	of staff, including at supervisory and	http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/eod/statement.ht			
	managerial level. This should be	<u>ml</u>			
	achieved on the basis of a transparent				
	equal opportunity policy at recruitment	The University's Recruitment and Selection Policy and			
	and at all subsequent career stages.	Procedure			
	Diversity should be reflected on	http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/handbook/recruit			
	selection and evaluation committees.	ment/policy_procedure.html provides guidelines for			
	What is 'representative' will vary	the composition of panels and recommends as far as			
	according to the nature of the	practicable an appropriate mix of panel members, for			
	institution and the academic research	example a gender mix.			
	subject, but institutions should aim to				
	ensure that the percentage of	The need for diversity in Recruitment and Selection			
	applicants, and ultimately	panels is also covered in Recruitment and Selection			
	appointments, from a particular group	training, which is run regularly and is mandatory (with			
	to any given level should reflect the	regular update training) for all involved in recruitment			
	percentage in the available pool at the	and selection			
	level immediately below.	http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/ocsld/staffcourses/			
	,	essential/recruitment.html			
6.8	Account should also be taken of the	The University policy, procedures and guidelines for	No action required		
	personal circumstances of groups of	the recruitment and selection of staff are based on the	, '		
	researchers. Examples would include	University's Equal Opportunity and Diversity statement			
	researchers who have responsibility	http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/eod/statement.ht			
	for young children or adult	ml			
	dependants, researchers for whom	_			
	English is not a first language, older or	The University has a comprehensive flexible			
	younger researchers, or researchers	working policy			
	with disabilities and long-term health	http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/handbook/worki			
	issues. Employers and funders should	nghours/flex/index.html			
		1 	ı	1	

	change policies or practices that	see also sections 6.4 and 6.5.		
	directly or indirectly disadvantage such groups	The Centre for Diversity Policy Research		
	groups	and Practice		
		http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/cdprp/ has		
		carried out research into women's under-		
		representation in senior roles in higher education, and		
		developed interventions.		
		The University has a nursery and provides childcare		
		vouchers. Details at		
		http://www.brookes.ac.uk/student/services/nursery/		
		and		
		http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/eod/vouchers.html		
		<u> </u>		
		An overview of University policies that support that		
		support work-life balance may be found at		
		http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/eod/wlb/policies.		
		html		
		The Staff Disability Adviser gives advice to disabled		
		staff, managers and job applicants about working		
		effectively at Oxford Brookes		
		http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/eod/disability/dis		
0.0	All resources of resources also also	ability_adviser.html	No estima acquired	
6.9	All managers of research should ensure that measures exist at every	Oxford Brookes University is committed to providing a working environment free from harassment and	No action required	
	institution through which	bullying and which supports the right of all staff to be		
	discrimination, bullying or harassment	treated with respect. As part of that commitment we		
	can be reported and addressed	have a Harassment and Bullying Policy and a network		
	without adversely affecting the careers	of trained Harassment Advisers.		
	of innocent parties.	http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/handbook/proble		
		ms/harassment.html		
		Oxford Brookes also has a number of trained		

		mediators who hold the National Certificate in Workplace Mediation and the University promotes mediation (http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/handbook/problems/mediation.html) as an alternative mechanism for staff dispute resolution. http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/handbook/problems/mediation.html			
6.10	Employers should also consider participation in schemes such as the Athena SWAN Charter, the Juno Project and other initiatives aimed at promoting diversity in research careers.	The University has been a member of the Athena SWAN Charter since 2009	We are working to achieve Athena SWAN 'bronze' status	Working group headed by Prof Linda King, FHLS	Already underw ay, comple te by July 2012

E: Implementation and Review Principle 7: The sector and all stakeholders will undertake regular and collective review of their progress in strengthening the attractiveness and sustainability of research careers in the UK.

		-	T	1	
7.1	The implementation of the	Implementation of the Concordat is overseen by the	Compliance with the Concordat and	Chair	From
	Concordat's principles will lead to	University RKTC and Faculty RKTCs.	implementation / progress with this Action	of	beginnin
	greater integration of researchers into	·	Plan will become a standing item in	RKTC	g of
	the mainstream management and	As University posts become vacant, they are	University RKTC agendas and will be		2012-13
	career development structures of their	advertised through a website	formally reviewed annually.		academic
	employing organisations. The aim of	https://edm.brookes.ac.uk/hr/hr/vacancies.do and are			year and
	this section is to promote	open to internal applicants. The University has a good			ongoing
	implementation through a collective	track record of research staff progressing through			
	commitment to reviewing its progress.	successive career stages, for example, Rajat Gupta,	Develop mentoring scheme specifically for	Prof	Review
		Professor of Sustainable Architecture and Climate	researchers	Linda	of current
		Change, began his research career as a PhD student		King,	practice
		at the University and has successfully progressed to		FHLS	underwa
		Professor, see		on	y. New
		http://www.brookes.ac.uk/profiles/staff/rajat_gupta		behalf	scheme

		As indicated in section 4.11, research staff have access to a comprehensive suite of training opportunities to support careers progression and personal and professional development.		of the Associ ate Deans Group	to begin Sept 2012
			The Faculty of Health & Life Sciences is developing a policy document and procedures to advise managers of research teams regarding performance management, including career development guidance, and supervision of those who work in their teams.	Prof Linda King, FHLS	End of Sept 2012
			Once piloted and developed within the Faculty it will be rolled out to the rest of the University	Chair of RKTC	Second half of academic year 2012-13 onwards
7.2	The signatories agree: a. to constitute a steering group under an independent chair to oversee the implementation and review of the Concordat with appropriate representation of the funders and	Implementation of the Concordat is overseen by the University RKTC and Faculty RKTCs.	Compliance with the Concordat and implementation / progress with this Action Plan will become a standing item in University RKTC agendas and will be formally reviewed annually.	Chair of the RKTC	From 2012-13 academic year and ongoing
	sector bodies including the Professional Institutions. This group will inform the UK Research Base Funders' Forum of progress. b. to procure an independent benchmarking study to assess the		The University will undertake any review requested by Vitae or other appropriate external bodies	As approp riate	As appropria te
	state of the sector at the launch of this Concordat. c. to contribute an appropriate share of the costs of supporting	The University participates in CROS, although the level of response from researchers was disappointing (16%) in the 2011 survey.	Encourage greater participation in CROS, mediated through Faculty research leads	Chair of the RKTC	CROS 2013

	implementation and review, including the benchmarking report. d. to draw up an implementation plan for the Concordat, to ensure a coherent and sustained approach by organisations operating in the sector and the appropriate use of survey and monitoring tools such as the Careers in Research Online Survey (CROS). e. to undertake and publish a major review of the implementation of the Concordat after three years reporting to the signatories and taking account of progress against the benchmark report and the views of researchers and employers (both outside and within the HE sector)				
7.3	The signatory funders will ensure that their terms and conditions of, for example, project grants include the expectation that the Research Organisations that they fund will adopt the principles of the revised Concordat	N/A			
7.4	The signatories recognise the value of innovation in practices and of sharing practice between institutions and aim to promote these throughout the implementation and review process. The funding signatories will consider aligning their support for transferable	A range of senior University staff regularly attend VITAE events that assist in realising the potential of researchers The Deputy Head of the Graduate School is an active	This will continue This will continue	PVCR Susan	Ongoing Ongoing
	and career development skills. It is expected that Vitae, the national programme dedicated to realising the	member of the SE Hub and regularly participates in meetings and training events		Brooks, GS	5 5

	potential of researchers, funded by the Research Councils, will play a major role in innovating, sharing practice and enhancing the capability of the sector to implement aspects of the Concordat, as well as establishing strategic partnerships between funders.				
7.5	Under public sector equality schemes, employers are required to monitor equality and diversity indicators for their researchers. This section focuses on the co-ordination and enhancement of existing information collection and not on the creation of additional data.	The University is a member of the West Midlands HE Equality & Diversity Group which meets regularly to share good practice and discuss current issues. The Centre for Diversity Policy Research and Practice http://www.brookes.ac.uk/services/hr/cdprp/ has	The University currently have a working group to integrate Equality and Diversity for staff and students and to produce an action plan based on existing data.	Claire Morris, HR.	Action plan to be produced annually from 2012
	There is a strong presumption that in implementing the Concordat, significant emphasis will be placed on the use of existing data and information sources3 and on the sharing of good practice between institutions and to provide evidence of its impact.	carried out research into issues including women's under-representation in senior roles in higher education, and developed interventions.	Equality impact assessment is a requirement for the REF process and data collection is underway	Andrew Willis, HR	Ongoing until Apr 2013

Abbreviations:

RKTC - Research & Knowledge Transfer Committee

HR – Human Resources

PVCR - Pro Vice Chancellor for Research & Knowledge Transfer, Prof Alistair Fitt

GS - Graduate School

FHLS - Faculty of Health & Life Sciences

RBDO - Research and Business Development Office

REF - Research Excellence Framework

UREC - University Research Ethics Committee

CROS - Careers in Research Online Survey

HE - Higher education

JNCHES – Joint Negotiating Committee for Higher Education Staff
OCSLD – oxford Centre for Staff and Learning Development
PDR – Personal Development Review
RAE QR funding – Research Assessment Exercise Quality Research funding
CRF – central research fund
RADAR – Research Archive and Digital Asset Repository