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## Executive Summary

Oxford Brookes University is committed to increasing equality, diversity and inclusion through the creation and nurturing of a culture where each individual's contribution is valued and everyone is treated fairly and respectfully. This is a key building block in developing a place that will be a great one in which to learn, research and work. Additionally, it will assist the University in the creation and nurturing of a culture that attracts and retains talented people who want to learn and work with us.

As a local, national and international institution the University aims to be a beacon of good practice and to influence those we have contact with to share our values. We are proud of our strong history and continuing record of achievement in the field of equality, diversity and inclusion.

The University is consciously aware of the legal duties that are required of it by the Equality Act 2010 to demonstrate how it is advancing equality across a much wider range of individual characteristics. It is also aware of its 'general duty', effective from April 2012 that requires all public bodies:

- To eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation;
- To advance equality of opportunity between people who do and who do not share a protected characteristic;
- To foster good relations between people who do and those who do not share a protected characteristic.

A major strand of activity during the year has been the revision and updating of the University's Equality, Diversity and Inclusion policy and the setting up a sub-group to the University's Executive Board on Equality, Diversity and Inclusion chaired by Janet Beer the University's Vice Chancellor.

The University's Staff Survey carried out during the year identified the University's approach to Equality Diversity and Inclusion as an area of strength. Most respondents said that they are satisfied with their level of awareness of equality and diversity issues and how to behave, they are aware of the University's Equal Opportunity and Diversity statement and said they believe the University is committed to equality of opportunity for its entire staff. Whilst the feedback received is encouraging the University is not complacent and acknowledges that there is still work to do.

The collection and publication of information relating to the University's performance in the areas of equality, diversity and inclusion will enable the University to monitor outcomes for all groups, measure progress towards delivering on its agreed strategic equality objectives in addition to identifying areas for future action.

The data contained within this report has as its reference point $1^{\text {st }}$ September 2012 for staff. The data relating to students is based on all students attending Oxford Brookes University regardless of domicile or study mode. Undergraduate students include all FE/Foundation, Undergraduate First Degree, Undergraduate Foundation Degree and Undergraduate Other Degree. Postgraduate students include all Postgraduate Taught and Post graduate research. Unless specifically broken down by year and study level all data is based on total student numbers as at 01/12/2011 (UG and PG combined).

## Age

## Staff

The age profile of staff within the University is:


The University's Centre for Diversity, Policy Research and Practice has continued to conduct research into the implications of the removal of the default retirement age on the Higher Education Sector. In addition, it has delivered a number of workshops to enhance understanding across the sector of this important area.


The graph above shows the age profile of the University's employees split by full and part time status. Just under $10 \%$ of employees are now aged over 60 years of age. This is a reduction on the number of employees aged over 60 in 2011 when $11.5 \%$ of employees were in that age bracket.

The age profile for all employee groups within the University is shown in the table below


As would be expected employees in senior grades within the University are more heavily concentrated in older age groups. Also, Academic and Research careers typically start from age 25-29 which reflects the time taken to obtain the necessary postgraduate and, PhD qualifications. Employees within the University's Support staff sub-group are spread across the age profiles and are the only staff groups found in the under 25 age groups.

The age profile within the University's academic and research staff sub group is shown in the table below


The age profile within the University's support staff sub-group is shown in the table below


The age profile over the past 4 years has been:

| Age range | 2009 | $\%$ | 2010 | $\%$ | 2011 | $\%$ | 2012 | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15-19$ |  |  | 3 | $0.13 \%$ | 9 | $0.4 \%$ | 5 | $0.23 \%$ |
| $20-29$ | 129 | $5.78 \%$ | 178 | $7.82 \%$ | 210 | $9.4 \%$ | 227 | $10.34 \%$ |
| $30-39$ | 457 | $20.49 \%$ | 490 | $21.53 \%$ | 483 | $21.62 \%$ | 488 | $22.24 \%$ |
| $40-49$ | 610 | $27.35 \%$ | 611 | $26.85 \%$ | 620 | $27.75 \%$ | 606 | $27.62 \%$ |
| $50-59$ | 636 | $28.62 \%$ | 646 | $28.38 \%$ | 654 | $29.27 \%$ | 653 | $29.76 \%$ |
| $60-69$ | 382 | $17.13 \%$ | 337 | $14.81 \%$ | 255 | $11.41 \%$ | 212 | $9.66 \%$ |
| $70-79$ | 16 | $0.72 \%$ | 11 | $0.48 \%$ | 3 | $0.13 \%$ | 3 | $0.14 \%$ |

The University has continued to attract applications from a wide age range in part due to the economic climate. The range of working patterns and, the variety of roles available are a key factor in attracting people to the University. Some $35 \%$ of people employed by the University working less than full time.

The University has continued to provide access for all staff to an online Retirement Planning programme with 169 people making use of the facility during the year.

Further action has been taken in the area of recruitment through the reinvigoration of the University's Apprenticeship scheme in conjunction with Oxford and Cherwell Valley College and now has 5 people on the programme. In addition the University has re-launched its internship programme recruiting18 people.

## Students

The age profile for all students attending the University in each of the past 3 years regardless of domicile or study mode is shown in the table below:

| Year and Study <br> Level | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U21 | \% | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 4}$ | \% | $\mathbf{2 5 - 2 9}$ | \% | $\mathbf{3 0 +}$ | \% |  |
| UG 2009/10 | 8329 | $59.4 \%$ | 2228 | $15.9 \%$ | 1066 | $7.6 \%$ | 2398 | $17.1 \%$ |  |
| PG 2009/10 | 14 | $0.4 \%$ | 981 | $24.3 \%$ | 914 | $22.6 \%$ | 2128 | $52.7 \%$ |  |
| Total | 8343 | $46.2 \%$ | 3209 | $17.8 \%$ | 1980 | $11 \%$ | 4526 | $25 \%$ |  |
| UG 2010/11 | 8520 | $60.8 \%$ | 2234 | $15.9 \%$ | 1021 | $7.3 \%$ | 2228 | $16 \%$ |  |
| PG 2010/11 | 27 | $0.6 \%$ | 1027 | $23 \%$ | 921 | $20.4 \%$ | 2508 | $56 \%$ |  |
| Total | 8547 | $46.2 \%$ | 3261 | $17.6 \%$ | 1942 | $10.5 \%$ | 4736 | $25.7 \%$ |  |
| UG 2011/12 | 8705 | $62.2 \%$ | 2148 | $15.5 \%$ | 915 | $6.5 \%$ | 2214 | $15.8 \%$ |  |
| PG 2011/12 | 25 | $0.6 \%$ | 1099 | $24.8 \%$ | 906 | $20.4 \%$ | 2394 | $54.2 \%$ |  |
| Total | 8730 | $47.5 \%$ | 3247 | $17.6 \%$ | 1821 | $9.9 \%$ | 4608 | $25 \%$ |  |

The University continues to attract a wide age range of students particularly at undergraduate level.

The age profile of students in each of the University's Faculties in 2011/12 was:

| Faculty | Year and Study Level | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U21 | \% | $\begin{aligned} & 21- \\ & 24 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \% | $\begin{aligned} & 25- \\ & 29 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \% | 30+ | \% |
| Business | UG 2011/12 | 2102 | 78.2\% | 382 | 14.2\% | 98 | 3.4\% | 105 | 3.9\% |
|  | PG 2011/12 | 5 | 0.5\% | 269 | 27.7\% | 223 | 23\% | 473 | 48.8\% |
|  | Total | 2107 | 57.6\% | 651 | 17.8\% \% | 321 | 8.8\% | 578 | 15.8\% |
| HLS | UG 2011/12 | 1795 | 46.8\% | 554 | 14.4\%\% | 417 | 10.9\% | 1073 | 27.9\% |
|  | PG 2011/12 | 17 | 1.4\% | 123 | 10.1\% | 184 | 14.9\% | 904 | 73.6\% |
|  | Total | 1812 | 35.7\% | 677 | 13.4\% | 601 | 11.9\% | 1977 | 39\% |
| HSS | UG 2011/12 | 2617 | 63.4\% | 506 | 12.3\% | 202 | 4.9\% | 805 | 19.4\% |
|  | PG 2011/12 | 0 | 0 | 308 | 23.1\% | 250 | 18.8\% | 773 | 58.1\% |
|  | Total | 2617 | 48\% | 814 | 14.8\% | 452 | 8.3\% | 1578 | 28.9\% |
| TDE | UG 2011/12 | 2580 | 75\% | 519 | 14.9\% | 169 | 4.8\% | 186 | 5.3\% |
|  | PG 2011/12 | 3 | 0.4\% | 400 | 44.4\% | 252 | 28\% | 245 | 27.2\% |
|  | Total | 2583 | 59.3\% | 919 | 21.1\% | 421 | 9.7\% | 431 | 9.9\% |

## Disability

The definition of disability used within this report is that within the Equality Act and so includes people with a long-term health condition.

The proportion of staff who have declared themselves as having a disability in line with other UK higher education institutions at just under 5\% (5.93\% part time and $4.46 \%$ full time). This is a reduction in the percentage of colleagues who declared that they had a disability in 2010/11.

This is a result of increase in the number of people joining the University who have not provided information.

The University took the opportunity during the year to combine its support services for staff and students with disabilities. Following the restructure 26 colleagues have been supported through provision of advice to individuals and their line managers, screening and provision of equipment. It also increased the number of people in its Dyslexia Team. There were 3 new members of staff with an FTE of 0.5 administration, 0.8 dyslexia advice and screening and 0.5 of dyslexia tutoring for international and non-DSA students.


The table below show the percentage of employees in each grade that declared that they have a disability


Those employees who have made it known to the University that they have a disability are predominantly employed in support staff grades.

| Academic \& Research Staff | Support Staff | Senior Staff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $1 \%$ |

The percentage of employees who have declared a disability in each of grades within the three employee sub groups in place within the University is shown in the graph below.


During the year the University renewed is commitment to Disabled Go. The websites provides a resource for current and prospective staff, students and visitors.

## Students

The University has 2115 students who have declared themselves as having a disability. At $11.5 \%$ this means that the University has a higher disabled student population than comparable HEls. This is largely due to the level of support provided by the University to those students who declare themselves as having dyslexia.

| Status | Number of <br> Students | \% at Brookes | \% all students <br> in the UK |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disabled | 2115 | $11.5 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ |  |
| Not <br> Disabled | 15946 | $86.6 \%$ | $92.4 \%$ |  |
| Unknown | 346 | $1.9 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |  |
| Total | 18407 |  |  |  |

The University's Disability and Dyslexia Service has supported 492 students during the year.
Of those students who have declared a disability the profile is:

| Disability | Number of <br> Students | Percentage <br> of <br> Students |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Autistic Spectrum Disorder | 32 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Blind/ Partially Sighted | 25 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Deaf/ Hearing Impairment | 52 | $2.5 \%$ |
| Mental Health Difficulties | 90 | $4.3 \%$ |
| Mobility Difficulties/ <br> Wheelchair | 33 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Multiple Disabilities | 47 | $2.2 \%$ |
| Other Disability | 124 | $5.9 \%$ |
| Personal Care Support | 3 | $0.1 \%$ |
| Specific learning difficulty | 1461 | $69.6 \%$ |
| Unseen Disability | 233 | $11.1 \%$ |

The age profile over the past 3 years of those students who have declared themselves as having a disability is shown in the table below.

| Year and Study Level | Age Group- Disabled Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U21 | \% | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 4}$ | \% | $\mathbf{2 5 - 2 9}$ | \% | $\mathbf{3 0 +}$ | \% |
|  | 1246 | $66.2 \%$ | 253 | $13.4 \%$ | 146 | $7.8 \%$ | 237 | $12.6 \%$ |
| PG 2009/10 | 1 | $0.4 \%$ | 66 | $27.3 \%$ | 44 | $18.2 \%$ | 131 | $54.1 \%$ |
| Total | 1247 | $58.7 \%$ | 319 | $15.1 \%$ | 190 | $8.9 \%$ | 368 | $17.3 \%$ |
| UG 2010/11 | $\mathbf{1 2 9 4}$ | $67 \%$ | 273 | $14.1 \%$ | 129 | $6.7 \%$ | 235 | $12.2 \%$ |
| PG 2010/11 | 3 | $1.1 \%$ | 71 | $26.7 \%$ | 56 | $21.1 \%$ | 136 | $51.1 \%$ |
| Total | 1297 | $59 \%$ | 344 | $15.7 \%$ | 185 | $8.4 \%$ | 371 | $16.9 \%$ |
| UG 2011/12 | 1284 | $69.2 \%$ | 223 | $12.1 \%$ | 114 | $6.1 \%$ | 234 | $12.6 \%$ |
| PG 2011/12 | 3 | $1.2 \%$ | 72 | $27.6 \%$ | 59 | $22.7 \%$ | 126 | $48.5 \%$ |
| Total | 1287 | $60.9 \%$ | 295 | $13.9 \%$ | 173 | $8.2 \%$ | 360 | $17 \%$ |

The University continues to recognise that some of its students have particular needs to enable them to participate fully in learning. During the year the University's Dyslexia and Disability

Service has provided a range of support to students particularly those who have declared themselves as having dyslexia.

The profile of students who have declared themselves as having a disability across the University's four Faculties is shown below.

| Faculty | Year and <br> Study <br> Level | Disabled | \% <br> Disabled | Not <br> Disabled | \% <br> Not <br> Disabled | Unknown | Unknown |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UG 2011/12 | 329 | $12.2 \%$ | 2355 | $87.6 \%$ | 3 | $0.2 \%$ |
|  | PG 2011/12 | 34 | $3.5 \%$ | 818 | $84.3 \%$ | 118 | $12.2 \%$ |
|  | Total | 363 | $9.9 \%$ | 3173 | $86.8 \%$ | 121 | $3.3 \%$ |
| HLS | UG 2011/12 | 497 | $12.9 \%$ | 3290 | $85.7 \%$ | 52 | $1.4 \%$ |
|  | PG 2011/12 | 62 | $5 \%$ | 1149 | $93.5 \%$ | 18 | $1.5 \%$ |
|  | Total | 559 | $11 \%$ | 4439 | $87.6 \%$ | 70 | $1.4 \%$ |
| HSS | UG 2011/12 | 509 | $12.3 \%$ | 3569 | $86.4 \%$ | 52 | $1.3 \%$ |
|  | PG 2011/12 | 92 | $6.9 \%$ | 1201 | $90.2 \%$ | 38 | $2.9 \%$ |
|  | Total | 601 | $11 \%$ | 4770 | $87.3 \%$ | 90 | $1.7 \%$ |
| TDE | UG 2011/12 | 603 | $17.5 \%$ | 2839 | $82.2 \%$ | 12 | $0.3 \%$ |
|  | PG 2011/12 | 74 | $8.2 \%$ | 811 | $90.1 \%$ | 15 | $1.7 \%$ |
|  | Total | 677 | $15.5 \%$ | 3650 | $84 \%$ | 27 | $0.5 \%$ |

The number of students declaring themselves as having dyslexia during the course of their studies at the University is evidence of the continued success of the University's Disability and Dyslexia Service in supporting students through the process of assessment and subsequent targeted support.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 2007- \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 2008- \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 2009- \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2010- \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 2011- \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\underset{* *}{2012-}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No | $+/$ | No. | +/- | No. | +/- | No. | +/- | No. | +/- | No. | +/- |
| Dyslexic NEW disclosures non Partner | 374 |  | 360 | -14 | 524 | +164 |  |  | 578 | +54 | 337 | $241$ |
| Total dyslexic students OBU |  |  |  |  | 1070 |  | 1073 | +3 | 1446 | +373 | 1305 | $141$ |
| Disabled NEW disclosures non Partner |  |  | 117 |  | 187 | +70 | 195 | +8 | 302 | +107 | 167 | $135$ |
| Total disabled students OBU still studying | 483 |  | 545 | +62 | 597 | +52 | 624 | +27 | 755 | +131 | 615 | $140$ |

In addition during the year the University's Disability and Dyslexia Service worked with the Students' Union to undertake a consultation with students with disabilities. The findings were that there should be focused communication aimed at those students who are new to the University, those who did not declare themselves as having a disability on their UCAS form and also to enhance the effectiveness of the University's website with reference to those students who have a disability. It was also highlighted that work should be undertaken to develop the University's academic staff in effectively supporting students with disabilities which has been addressed by the Disability and Dyslexia Service in conjunction with the University's Oxford Centre for Staff Learning and Development.

## Gender

## Staff

The University's gender profile is shown in the graph below and 60\% of staff female; an increase on the figure in 2010/11 of 59.31\%.


The ration is different across the various staff groups within the University and those variations are shown in the table below.

|  | \% of Staff in University | Female | \% <br> Female | Male | \% Male |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Professor | 3.14\% | 23 | 33.33\% | 46 | 66.67\% |
| Research | 4.33\% | 46 | 48.42\% | 49 | 51.58\% |
| Senior | 3.83\% | 37 | 44.05\% | 47 | 55.95\% |
| Support | 60.3\% | 863 | 65.23\% | 460 | 34.77\% |
| Academic | 28.4\% | 343 | 55.06\% | 280 | 44.94\% |

Of the total number of female employees in the University $56 \%$ are in full time roles against $78.8 \%$ of male employees in full time roles. This compares to $46.8 \%$ and $67.1 \%$ across the HEI sector

The gender profile across the three employee sub-groups and grades within the University


As noted in previous Equality, Diversity and Inclusion reports the University has identified the progression of females into both Reader and Professorial roles as an area for improvement. The table below shows that over the past three years applications for Readership are broadly similar for males and females. However, it has been noted through analysis of data carried out to support the University's Athena Swan application (2012), that fewer females in SET (Faculty of HLS and TDE) apply for Readerships than in other subject areas. Steps have been identified to address this issue as part of the University's Athena Swan action plan.

| Promotion to Readership 2010-12 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | Applications |  | Awarded |  |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| 2010 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 7 |
| 2011 | 8 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| 2012 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 3 |

The UCEA report that nationally within HE there are only $19.1 \%$ female professors. The number of applications and number of awards made to the four professorial levels within the University for the last three years is shown in the table below. This indicates that less females than males apply for Professorships and of those that apply although females are more successful at Level 1, but less likely be successful at higher levels. Actions to encourage more female Professorial applications have been identified within the University's recent Athena SWAN bronze University Level Application and also as part of its strategic Equality, Diversity and Inclusion objectives.

| Prof Level 1 |  | 2009-2012 |  | tions at |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { MALE } & \text { FEMALE } \\ \text { gende26 } & \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Level 1 | No. Appieachtlokel by |  |  |  |
|  | No. Awarded | 9 | 1 |  |
|  | 4\% Awarded | 35\% | 71\% |  |
|  | No. Applications | 6 |  |  |
| Prof Leiver | No. Awarded | 5 |  |  |
| Prof Level 2 | $2 \%$ Awarded | 83\% |  | No. Female Awards |
|  | No. Applications | 5 |  | No. Male Awards |
| kroyele 3 | ${ }_{1}$ No. Awarded | 2 |  |  |
|  | \% Awarded | 40\% | 33\% |  |
| Level 4 | No. Applications | 104 | 15 |  |
|  | No. Awarqegmbers aw | arded ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
|  | \% Awarded | 25\% | 0\% |  |

The gender profile of permanent and temporary roles within the University is set out in the table below.

|  | Female | $\%$ | Male | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Permanent | 1174 | $60.45 \%$ | 768 | $39.55 \%$ |
| Temporary | 138 | $54.76 \%$ | 114 | $45.24 \%$ |

During the past year the University organised and hosted an event that brought together senior women leaders from academia, Government, media, and law from across the public, private and voluntary sectors. Speakers included Beverley Alimo-Metcalfe, Professor of Leadership Studies, University of Bradford, Shami Chakrabarti, Director of Liberty and the University's Chancellor, Rt Hon Jaqui Smith, Britain's first woman Home Secretary, Sara Thornton, Chief Constable of Thames Valley Police and Vice President of the Association of Chief Police Officers and Professor Susan Vinnicombe, Director of the Centre for Women Leaders at Cranfield School of Management and author of the annual Female FTSE 100 Report

The University has also set up a project group to support its application for the Athena Swan award and is working towards submitting its application in November 2012.

## Students

The gender balance of the University's UG and PG student population is consistent with the position in 2010/12 with $59.5 \%$ female.


The table below shows the gender profile of the University's student population over the past 3 years.

| Year and Study Level | Gender |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | \% Male | Female | \% Female |
| UG 2009/10 | 5967 | $42.6 \%$ | 8054 | $57.4 \%$ |
| PG 2009/10 | 1532 | $37.9 \%$ | 2508 | $62.1 \%$ |
| Total | 7499 | $41.5 \%$ | 10562 | $58.5 \%$ |
| UG 2010/11 | 6004 | $42.9 \%$ | 8000 | $57.1 \%$ |
| PG 2010/11 | 1526 | $34 \%$ | 2959 | $66 \%$ |
| Total | 7530 | $40.7 \%$ | 10959 | $59.3 \%$ |
| UG 2011/12 | 5942 | $42.5 \%$ | 8040 | $57.5 \%$ |
| PG 2011/12 | 1531 | $34.6 \%$ | 2894 | $65.4 \%$ |
| Total | 7473 | $40.6 \%$ | 10934 | $59.4 \%$ |

Split across the University's 4 Faculties of Business, Health and Life Sciences, Humanities and Social Sciences and Technology, Design and Environment the gender profile of students is set out below. Departments such as Architecture, Real Estate and Construction, Planning, Mathematics and Mechanical Science and Computing and Communication Technologies continue to attract more male than female students

| Faculty | Year and Study Level | Gender |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | \% Male | Female | \% Female |
| Business | UG 2011/12 | 1380 | $51.4 \%$ | 1307 | $48.6 \%$ |
|  | PG 2011/12 | 425 | $43.8 \%$ | 545 | $56.2 \%$ |
|  | Total | 1805 | $49.4 \%$ | 1852 | $50.6 \%$ |
| HLS | UG 2011/12 | 935 | $24.4 \%$ | 2904 | $75.6 \%$ |
|  | PG 2011/12 | 253 | $20.6 \%$ | 976 | $79.4 \%$ |
|  | Total | 1188 | $23.4 \%$ | 3880 | $76.6 \%$ |
| HSS | UG 2011/12 | 1345 | $32.6 \%$ | 2785 | $67.4 \%$ |
|  | PG 2011/12 | 366 | $27.5 \%$ | 965 | $72.5 \%$ |
|  | Total | 1711 | $31.3 \%$ | 3750 | $68.7 \%$ |
| TDE | UG 2011/12 | 2360 | $68.3 \%$ | 1094 | $31.7 \%$ |
|  | PG 2011/12 | 491 | $54.5 \%$ | 409 | $45.5 \%$ |
|  | Total | 2851 | $65.5 \%$ | 1503 | $34.5 \%$ |

## Ethnicity

The University's workforce continues to be diverse with $9 \%$ identifying themselves as belonging to a black and minority ethnic (BME) group. This is an increase on the previous year where $7.88 \%$ of colleagues identified themselves as belonging to such a group.


The University's staff ethnic profile across its main staff is shown in the table below.

|  | Black and minority ethnic group | \% | Information refused | \% | Not known | \% | White | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Professor | 6 | 8.70\% | 2 | $\begin{array}{r} 2.90 \\ \% \end{array}$ |  |  | 61 | 88.41\% |
| Research | 15 | 15.79\% | 3 | $\begin{array}{r} 3.16 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 1 | 1.05\% | 76 | 80.00\% |
| Senior | 2 | 2.38\% | 2 | $\begin{array}{r} 2.38 \\ \% \end{array}$ |  |  | 80 | 95.24\% |
| Support | 119 | 8.99\% | 15 | $\begin{array}{r} 1.13 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 4 | 0.30\% | 1185 | 89.57\% |
| Academic | 57 | 9.15\% | 10 | $\begin{array}{r} 1.61 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 6 | 0.96\% | 550 | 88.28\% |

The percentage of employees who have identified themselves as belonging to the BME group within each of the three employee sub-groups and grades within the University is shown in the graph below


The break down against each of the University's Faculties and Directorates is set out in the table below. It shows that the most significant increase amongst those employees who identify themselves as belonging to a Black and minority ethnic group in absolute number terms has been in the Faculty of Business and also the University's Estates and Facilities Directorate. That has been the consequence of the recruitment of a number of Post Doc Research posts and filling vacancies resulting from the Faculty Restructuring programme in the Faculty. The Estates and Facilities Directorate has a high proportion of roles that are low skilled and at the lower end of the University's job evaluation scale and it is those roles that continue to attract the higher proportion of applicants who identify themselves as Black and minority ethnic.
Consequently, whilst the University has made efforts in this area it is acknowledged that there is a requirement and opportunity for further work to be done

|  | Black and minority ethnic | \% | Information refused | \% | Not known | \% | White | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AL |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 100.00\% |
| ASA | 8 | 6.40\% | 1 | 0.80\% |  |  | 116 | 92.80\% |
| BH | 26 | 11.02\% |  |  | 1 | 0.42\% | 209 | 88.56\% |
| DC | 17 | 11.41\% | 1 | 0.67\% |  |  | 131 | 87.92\% |
| EFM | 45 | 12.03\% | 5 | 1.34\% | 2 | 0.54\% | 322 | 86.10\% |
| FI | 5 | 6.94\% | 1 | 1.39\% |  |  | 66 | 91.67\% |
| HLS | 24 | 6.88\% | 8 | 2.29\% | 4 | 1.14\% | 313 | 89.68\% |
| HR | 2 | 2.99\% |  |  |  |  | 65 | 97.01\% |
| HSS | 20 | 6.23\% | 10 | 3.12\% | 3 | 0.93\% | 288 | 89.72\% |
| LR | 4 | 3.31\% | 1 | 0.83\% |  |  | 116 | 95.87\% |
| OBIS | 8 | 9.30\% | 3 | 3.49\% |  |  | 75 | 87.21\% |
| SM |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4.35\% | 22 | 95.65\% |
| SU | 2 | 11.76\% |  |  | 1 | 5.88\% | 14 | 82.35\% |
| TDE | 40 | 13.47\% | 2 | 0.67\% | 2 | 0.68\% | 253 | 85.19\% |

## Students

The proportion of BME students is at its highest in over five years at 13.4\%. In addition the University has seen an increase in retention amongst students identifying themselves as belonging to a BME group of $6.4 \%$

| Year and | Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level | BMEG |  | Non BMEG |  | Unknown |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { UG } \\ \text { 2009/10 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1456 | (12.7) | 9663 | (84.5) | 310 | (2.7) |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { PG } \\ 2009 / 10 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 321 | (13.3) | 1965 | (81.6) | 122 | (5.1) |
| Total | 1777 | (12.8) | 11628 | (84.0) | 432 | (3.1) |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { UG } \\ \text { 2010/11 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1459 | (12.8) | 9665 | (84.5) | 311 | (2.7) |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { PG } \\ 2010 / 11 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 364 | (12.8) | 2324 | (81.9) | 148 | (5.2) |
| Total | 1823 | (12.8) | 11989 | (84.0) | 459 | (3.2) |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { UG } \\ \text { 2011/12 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1506 | (13.1) | 9671 | (84.3) | 290 | (2.5) |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { PG } \\ 2011 / 12 \end{array}$ | 357 | (12.9) | 2276 | (82.2) | 135 | (4.9) |
| Total | 1863 | (13.1) | 11947 | (83.9) | 425 | (3.0) |

The profile across each of the University's Faculties is shown in the table below.

| Faculty | Year and Study Level | Ethnicity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | BMEG | \% BMEG | Non BMEG | \% Non BMEG |
|  | UG 2011/12 | 334 | $18.1 \%$ | 1507 | $81.9 \%$ |
|  | PG 2011/12 | 93 | $22.7 \%$ | 316 | $77.3 \%$ |
|  | Total | 427 | $19.0 \%$ | 1823 | $81.0 \%$ |
| HLS | UG 2011/12 | 452 | $13.4 \%$ | 2928 | $86.6 \%$ |
|  | PG 2011/12 | 98 | $14.8 \%$ | 565 | $85.2 \%$ |
|  | Total | 550 | $13.6 \%$ | 3493 | $86.4 \%$ |
| HSS | UG 2011/12 | 392 | $10.9 \%$ | 3186 | $89.1 \%$ |
|  | PG 2011/12 | 103 | $9.2 \%$ | 1019 | $90.8 \%$ |
|  | Total | 495 | $10.5 \%$ | 4205 | $89.5 \%$ |
| TDE | UG 2011/12 | 392 | $13.3 \%$ | 2542 | $86.7 \%$ |
|  | PG 2011/12 | 63 | $14.4 \%$ | 376 | $85.6 \%$ |
|  | Total | 455 | $13.5 \%$ | 2918 | $86.5 \%$ |

## Religion and Belief

The University continues to be a wonderfully diverse community and its staff and students represent a wide variety of faith and cultures. As an integral part of its activity in this area the University supports a Chaplaincy service that has during the year again hosted a range of activities and events.

A multi-faith quiet room continues to be provided and Muslim prayer rooms have been established on all of the University's campuses.

## Staff

The University has continued to enhance the level of information it has on the religion and belief profile of its staff. Consequently the percentage of people for which it does not have information in terms of this particular protected characteristic has fallen from just under 35\% in 2011 to under $30 \%$ in 2012. However, the number of people who have responded as 'prefer not to say' has risen from $8.99 \%$ to $9.85 \%$ over the two years.

The religion and belief profile of the University's staff is shown in the graph below


## Students

The University has not systematically collected data from its students on religion and belief and so this has been included as one of the University's strategic Equality, Diversity and Inclusion objectives. A range of student faith groups are supported including the Islamic Society and the Christian Union

## Sexual Orientation

The University continues to collect data on an anonymous basis using best practice criteria including a prefer not to say option with currently $2.2 \%$ of the University employees declaring themselves as LGB and $9.5 \%$ preferring not to say.


The University again took the opportunity to support the Oxford Pride event during the year.

## Students

The University has not systematically collected data on the sexual orientation of its students and so this has been included as one of the University's strategic Equality, Diversity and Inclusion objectives.

The Equality Act introduced protection for people from discrimination on the basis of nine 'protected characteristics'. This formally added three additional characteristics to the six diversity standards of Age, Disability, Gender, Race, Religion and Belief and Sexual Orientation that are mentioned previously in this report.

The purpose of defining and extending these characteristics was to remove or minimise disadvantages, meet needs and encourage participation in public life. These three additional protected characteristics, and the University's approach, are outlined below:

## Gender Reassignment

The Equality Act provides protection for transsexual people - individuals who propose to, start or have completed a process to change their gender. The University recognises that there can be differences between physical sex and gender identity and will not discriminate against people on the grounds of transvestism, transexualism, intersex conditions or any process of gender reassignment.

The University will both respect confidentiality of trans students and staff, and provide a supportive environment for students and colleagues who wish their trans status to be known. These commitments are made within a wider context of seeking to ensure that our environment and written materials reflect the full diversity of our students and staff. One action to that end which the University has taken is to ensure that all accessible toilet facilities on all of the University's campuses are trans gender and so available to all.

## Marriage and Civil partnership

Same sex partners who enter into civil partnership have the same rights as different sex partners who enter into marriage. People who are married or in a civil partnership, are protected from employment discrimination under the Equality Act. These provisions are in place across a range of the University's policies and procedures including for colleagues access to compassionate leave. Additionally the University has taken steps within its estate to provide access to accommodation for couples to co-habit regardless of gender.

Pregnancy and Maternity
Under the Equality Act 2010 organisations cannot discriminate on the grounds of pregnancy or maternity. The University has dedicated policies on maternity and paternity along with a range of related provisions designed to ensure that students and colleagues can remain in touch to achieve a balance that best meets their needs and circumstances.

The profile of colleagues taking maternity leave and returning to work in the past year across the University was:

|  | No. of people taking <br> leave |
| :--- | :---: |
| On a career break following maternity <br> leave | 1 |
| On maternity leave | 42 |
| On paternity/maternity support | 12 |
| From a career break following <br> maternity leave No of people not <br> returning <br> From maternity leave 1 | 5 |

During the past year the University has set up nursing facilities for parents on both its campus in Headington and at Wheatley. In addition the University's Nursery continues to provide a high standard of childcare as noted by the most recent OFSTED Inspection carried out in May 2011 that acknowledged the standard as 'Outstanding'.

The University also provides staff with the option of purchasing childcare vouchers through a pay sacrifice scheme.

## Summary of progress

| Equality Objective | Progress |
| :--- | :--- |
| To complete Equality impact <br> Assessments for all PESE projects | The Programme for Enhancing the <br> Student Experience is a key <br> component of the University's 2020 <br> Vision and EIA's have been completed <br> and published for all projects |
| To increase usage of the University's <br> online retirement planning tool | This is on-going with more employees <br> having access to timely information and <br> making use of that information than in <br> $2010 / 11$ |
| To complete an Equal Pay Review to <br> ensure the equality of the University's <br> pay profile taking into account all <br> protected characteristics | This is on-going and is due to be <br> published in January 2013 |
| To achieve re-accreditation of the Two <br> -Tick Symbol | Achieved |
| To achieve the European Commission <br> HR Excellence in research award | The University achieved the award in <br> May 2012 |
| To achieve Athena Swann <br> accreditation | The University has set up a working <br> group to progress its application for a <br> Bronze Award and will be making its <br> submission in November 2012 |
| To develop a network for employees <br> with caring responsibilities | The network has been established with <br> 2 social events held during the year <br> and an online community formed |
| To conduct REF Equality Impact <br> Assessment to inform REF submission <br> in November 2013 | The University has drafted a Code of <br> Practice and completed an Equality <br> Impact Assessment |

## Wider Activities

## Employee Volunteering

The University's Employee Volunteering scheme provides staff with access to two additional days holiday to undertake volunteering activities and has now been taken up by 21 people. Examples of activities undertaken during the year have included:

- Supporting the 'One Difference' charity - to construct a kitchen garden
- Involvement with the Blackbird Leys Information Technology Zone Community Interest Company to provide one-to-one tutorial support for drop-in users of the Community IT Centre, in the area of employability guidance and advice, particularly in the creation and editing of CVs on a computer
- Supporting Our Lady's Catholic Primary School, Oxford: assisting with classroom activities, in particular assisting with literacy and numerical learning


## Carers

The University continues to provide access to support networks for those staff with caring responsibilities and ran in conjunction with the South Vale Carers Centre a coffee morning and an afternoon tea to provide staff with the opportunity to share experiences and obtain information in a relaxed environment. It has also set up an online network for those with caring responsibilities to facilitate access to information 24/7.

Training and Development
The University was re-credited with a Gold Award during the year demonstrating that the Investors in people framework continues to be an integral part of the University's people development strategy.

Equality, Diversity and Inclusion continue to be embedded into the core elements of the portfolio of programmes offered by the Oxford centre for Staff Learning and Development. During the year the University ran a trial of eLearning programmes covering the areas of equality and diversity to support its 'Welcome to Brookes' induction programme and its leadership programmes. Those programmes will be fully rolled out in the coming year so that they become an integral part of the University's training and development portfolio.

Staff Survey
During the review year the University conducted a Staff Survey. Of those who responded 86\% said that they believed that the University is committed to equality of opportunity for its entire staff. This is down from a figure of $89 \%$ in the 2009 survey. Additionally, $91 \%$ of respondents said that they are aware of the University's Equal Opportunity and Diversity statement down from $95 \%$ in 2009. Whilst these results remain positive the University is not complacent and acknowledges that there is work to be done to return results to and beyond their 2009 level.

Of those who responded to the survey $4 \%$ said that they felt that they had been harassed or bullied in the past 12 months. This is a significant improvement on the response in the 2009 survey of $7 \%$ who said that they had been harassed or bullied, and lower than the HEI average that stands at $5 \%$. The University is not complacent and acknowledges that further work needs to be done and so, has as one of its strategic Equality, Diversity and Inclusion objectives the review of its Harassment and Bullying policy to ensure that it is easy for individuals to complain and also that complaints are treated in good faith and acted upon within set time limits

National Student Survey (NSS)
The University takes a keen interest in the feedback it receives from its students and one particularly important mechanism is the National Student Survey. In the 2012 Survey the percentage of students with dyslexia at the University was $6 \%$ higher than the HEI average further indicating the successful impact of the University's Disability and Dyslexia Service.

Data Protection and Monitoring
The University acknowledges that this is an area where further work is required and so has made this a strand within its published strategic Equality, Diversity and Inclusion objectives. The collection of data from staff on religion and belief, sexual orientation and revised disability categories in line with HESA guidelines is in place. It has and continues to encourage all employees to update their online personal data although it recognises that the development of a robust profile will take time.

During the coming year the University plans to introduce a new HR and Payroll System and will make use of that opportunity to further enhance the robustness of the data on the protected characteristics as defined in the Equality Act 2010.

The University has and will continue to make use of Equality Impact Assessments (EIAs) although are no longer a legal requirement, to develop its understanding of the impact its policies and practices have on particular groups. To that end EIAs have been completed for each strand of the University's Strategy for Enhancing the Student Experience.

## Appendices

## Monitoring Statistics

## Staff

## Gender Profile

|  | No of people <br> in 2012 | \% in 2012 | \% in 2011 | \% in 2010 | \% in 2009 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gender | 1335 | $59.52 \%$ | $59.31 \%$ | $60.24 \%$ | $60.22 \%$ |
| F | 908 | $40.48 \%$ | $40.64 \%$ | $39.76 \%$ | $39.78 \%$ |
| M | 908 |  |  |  |  |

Ethnicity Profile

| Ethnicity | Number of <br> people | \% in 2012 | \% in 2011 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Black and minority <br> ethnic group | 201 | $8.96 \%$ | $7.88 \%$ |
| Information refused | 32 | $1.43 \%$ | $1.51 \%$ |
| Not known | 5 | $0.22 \%$ | $0.35 \%$ |
| White | 1996 | $88.99 \%$ | $89.86 \%$ |
| Other | 9 | $0.40 \%$ | $0.40 \%$ |


| Age group | Number of <br> people | \% in 2012 | \% in 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $15-19$ | 7 | $0.31 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| $20-24$ | 48 | $2.14 \%$ | $1.99 \%$ |
| $25-29$ | 187 | $8.34 \%$ | $7.13 \%$ |
| $30-34$ | 251 | $11.19 \%$ | $10.58 \%$ |
| $35-39$ | 242 | $10.79 \%$ | $10.98 \%$ |
| $40-44$ | 296 | $13.20 \%$ | $12.58 \%$ |
| $45-49$ | 325 | $14.49 \%$ | $15.23 \%$ |
| $50-54$ | 347 | $15.47 \%$ | $15.23 \%$ |
| $55-59$ | 320 | $14.27 \%$ | $14.26 \%$ |
| $60-64$ | 185 | $8.25 \%$ | $9.61 \%$ |
| $65-69$ | 32 | $1.43 \%$ | $1.82 \%$ |
| $70-74$ | 3 | $0.13 \%$ | $0.18 \%$ |


| Disability <br> status | Number of <br> people | $\%$ in 2012 | $\%$ in 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Disabled | 111 | $4.95 \%$ | $5.49 \%$ |
| Not <br> disabled | 2101 | $93.67 \%$ | $93.36 \%$ |
| Prefer not to <br> say | 17 | $0.76 \%$ | $0.71 \%$ |
| Unknown | 14 | $0.62 \%$ | $0.44 \%$ |


| Religion <br> Description | Number of <br> people | \% in 2012 | $\%$ in 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Buddhist | 15 | $0.67 \%$ | $0.62 \%$ |
| Christian | 733 | $32.68 \%$ | $30.12 \%$ |
| Hindu | 17 | $0.76 \%$ | $0.58 \%$ |
| Jewish | 8 | $0.36 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| Muslim | 27 | $1.20 \%$ | $0.89 \%$ |
| No religion | 505 | $22.51 \%$ | $21.52 \%$ |
| Other | 52 | $2.32 \%$ | $1.64 \%$ |
| Prefer not to say | 221 | $9.85 \%$ | $8.99 \%$ |
| Sikh | 7 | $0.31 \%$ | $0.27 \%$ |
| Unknown | 658 | $29.34 \%$ | $34.99 \%$ |


| Sexual Orientation | Number of people | \% in 2012 | \% in 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bisexual | 17 | 0.76\% | 0.58\% |
| Gay man | 17 | 0.76\% | 0.35\% |
| Gay Woman/lesbian | 17 | 0.76\% | 0.62\% |
| Heterosexual/straight | 1297 | 57.82\% | 53.45\% |
| Other | 6 | 0.27\% | 0.31\% |
| Prefer not to say | 213 | 9.50\% | 8.72\% |
| Unknown | 676 | 30.14\% | 35.96\% |

## Recruitment Monitoring Statistics

The University has gone through a restructuring programme and the recruitment to the new Faculties is included in the monitoring statistics in this report.

All applications by Faculty/Directorate.

| FacultylDirectorate | Total no of Applications | \% | Not shortlisted | \% | Shortisted | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AL | 19 | 0.2\% | 11 | 57.89\% | 8 | 42.11\% |
| ASA | 722 | 8.1\% | 587 | 81.30\% | 135 | 18.70\% |
| BH | 1504 | 16.9\% | 1031 | 68.55\% | 473 | 31.45\% |
| DC | 384 | 4.3\% | 290 | 75.52\% | 94 | 24.48\% |
| DT | 661 | 7.4\% | 369 | 55.82\% | 292 | 44.18\% |
| EFM | 2070 | 23.2\% | 1305 | 63.04\% | 765 | 36.96\% |
| FI | 196 | 2.2\% | 169 | 86.22\% | 27 | 13.78\% |
| HL | 1280 | 14.4\% | 829 | 64.77\% | 451 | 35.23\% |
| HR | 161 | 1.8\% | 106 | 65.84\% | 55 | 34.16\% |
| HS | 1178 | 13.2\% | 535 | 45.42\% | 643 | 54.58\% |
| LR | 580 | 6.5\% | 405 | 69.83\% | 175 | 30.17\% |
| OBIS | 31 | 0.3\% | 18 | 58.06\% | 13 | 41.94\% |
| SU | 129 | 1.4\% | 100 | 77.52\% | 29 | 22.48\% |
| Grand Total | 8915 | 100.0\% | 5755 | 64.55\% | 3160 | 35.45\% |

The age profile of applications for employment received during 2011 - 2012 is set out in the table below

| Age group | Total No of Applicants | \% | Not shortisted | \% | Shortlisted | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-19 | 153 | 1.7\% | 128 | 83.66\% | 25 | 16.34\% |
| 20-24 | 1351 | 15.2\% | 938 | 69.43\% | 413 | 30.57\% |
| 25-29 | 1875 | 21.0\% | 1183 | 63.09\% | 692 | 36.91\% |
| 30-34 | 1524 | 17.1\% | 940 | 61.68\% | 584 | 38.32\% |
| 35-39 | 1085 | 12.2\% | 700 | 64.52\% | 385 | 35.48\% |
| 40-44 | 718 | 8.1\% | 474 | 66.02\% | 244 | 33.98\% |
| 45-49 | 704 | 7.9\% | 397 | 56.39\% | 307 | 43.61\% |
| 50-54 | 469 | 5.3\% | 294 | 62.69\% | 175 | 37.31\% |
| 55-59 | 394 | 4.4\% | 251 | 63.71\% | 143 | 36.29\% |
| 60-64 | 135 | 1.5\% | 86 | 63.70\% | 49 | 36.30\% |
| 65-69 | 14 | 0.2\% | 9 | 64.29\% | 5 | 35.71\% |
| 70-74 | 13 | 0.1\% | 3 | 23.08\% | 10 | 76.92\% |
| 75-79 | 1 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Data not recorded | 479 | 5.4\% | 351 | 73.28\% | 128 | 26.72\% |
| Grand Total | 8915 | 100.0\% | 5755 | 64.55\% | 3160 | 35.45\% |

The profile of applications for employment with the University from individuals identifying themselves as Black and Minority ethnic during the year was:

| FacultylDirectorate | Total No of Applicants | Total No of BME applicants | \% of BME <br> Applicants |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AL | 19 | 4 | 21.0\% |
| ASA | 722 | 169 | 23.4\% |
| BH | 1504 | 656 | 43.6\% |
| DC | 384 | 82 | 21.6\% |
| DT | 661 | 147 | 22.2\% |
| EFM | 2070 | 616 | 29.8\% |
| FI | 196 | 66 | 33.8\% |
| HL | 1280 | 236 | 18.4\% |
| HR | 161 | 47 | 29.2\% |
| HS | 1178 | 151 | 12.8\% |
| LR | 580 | 149 | 25.7\% |
| OBIS | 31 | 11 | 35.5\% |
| SU | 129 | 35 | 27.1\% |
| Grand Total | 8918 | 2369 | 26.6\% |

The table below show the offers of employment made broken down against each of the University's Faculties and Directorates

| Faculty/Directorate | No <br> Attending <br> Interview | \% | No offer made | \% | Offer made | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AL | 8 | 0.4\% | 6 | 75.00\% | 2 | 25.00\% |
| ASA | 80 | 3.9\% | 53 | 66.25\% | 27 | 33.75\% |
| BH | 374 | 18.2\% | 213 | 56.95\% | 161 | 43.05\% |
| DC | 78 | 3.8\% | 61 | 78.21\% | 17 | 21.79\% |
| DT | 201 | 9.8\% | 108 | 53.73\% | 93 | 46.27\% |
| EFM | 602 | 29.2\% | 239 | 39.70\% | 363 | 60.30\% |
| FI | 20 | 1.0\% | 11 | 55.00\% | 9 | 45.00\% |
| HL | 270 | 13.1\% | 171 | 63.33\% | 99 | 36.67\% |
| HR | 35 | 1.7\% | 29 | 82.86\% | 6 | 17.14\% |
| HS | 223 | 10.8\% | 139 | 62.33\% | 84 | 37.67\% |
| LR | 136 | 6.6\% | 85 | 62.50\% | 51 | 37.50\% |
| OBIS | 11 | 0.5\% | 9 | 81.82\% | 2 | 18.18\% |
| SU | 21 | 1.0\% | 17 | 80.95\% | 4 | 19.05\% |
| Grand Total | 2059 | 100.0\% | 1141 | 55.42\% | 918 | 44.58\% |

The age profile of applicants offered employment with the University is:

| Age group | No Attending Interview | \% | No offer made | \% | Offer made | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-19 | 15 | 0.7\% | 4 | 26.67\% | 11 | 73.33\% |
| 20-24 | 304 | 14.8\% | 132 | 43.42\% | 172 | 56.58\% |
| 25-29 | 407 | 19.8\% | 222 | 54.55\% | 185 | 45.45\% |
| 30-34 | 376 | 18.3\% | 206 | 54.79\% | 170 | 45.21\% |
| 35-39 | 247 | 12.0\% | 157 | 63.56\% | 90 | 36.44\% |
| 40-44 | 176 | 8.5\% | 113 | 64.20\% | 63 | 35.80\% |
| 45-49 | 227 | 11.0\% | 124 | 54.63\% | 103 | 45.37\% |
| 50-54 | 119 | 5.8\% | 79 | 66.39\% | 40 | 33.61\% |


| $55-59$ | 87 | $4.2 \%$ | 36 | $41.38 \%$ | 51 | $58.62 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $60-64$ | 41 | $2.0 \%$ | 31 | $75.61 \%$ | 10 | $24.39 \%$ |
| $65-69$ | 5 | $0.2 \%$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 5 | $100.00 \%$ |
| $70-74$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| $75-79$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Data not |  |  | 37 | $67.27 \%$ | 18 | $32.73 \%$ |
| recorded | 55 | $2.7 \%$ | 1141 | $55.42 \%$ | 918 | $44.58 \%$ |

Of those applications the profile of people shortlisted for interview was:

| FacultylDirectorate | No of applicants shortlisted | No of BME applicants shortlisted | \% of BME applicants shotlisted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AL | 8 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| ASA | 135 | 18 | 10.7\% |
| BH | 473 | 170 | 25.9\% |
| DC | 94 | 13 | 15.8\% |
| DT | 292 | 53 | 36.0\% |
| EFM | 765 | 256 | 41.2\% |
| FI | 27 | 8 | 12.1\% |
| HL | 451 | 58 | 24.6\% |
| HR | 55 | 12 | 25.5\% |
| HS | 643 | 65 | 43.0\% |
| LR | 175 | 27 | 18.1\% |
| OBIS | 13 | 7 | 63.6\% |
| SU | 29 | 8 | 22.9\% |
| Grand Total | 3160 | 695 | 29.3\% |

The profile of people identifying themselves as Black and Minority ethnic

| Faculty/Directorate | Total No of offers of employment | $\%$ of successful applications against total received | No of offers made to BME Applicants | $\%$ of successful BME Applicants against number received |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AL | 11 | 14.9\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| ASA | 172 | 4.9\% | 4 | 2.4\% |
| BH | 185 | 12.4\% | 58 | 8.8\% |
| DC | 170 | 5.5\% | 1 | 1.2\% |
| DT | 90 | 9.3\% | 13 | 8.8\% |
| EFM | 363 | 9.1\% | 106 | 17.2\% |
| FI | 103 | 6.2\% | 1 | 1.5\% |
| HL | 40 | 5.0\% | 7 | 2.7\% |
| HR | 51 | 4.2\% | 1 | 2.1\% |
| HS | 10 | 3.7\% | 7 | 4.6\% |
| LR | 5 | 5.2\% | 2 | 1.3\% |
| OBIS | 0 | 6.6\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| SU | 0 | 3.9\% | 1 | 2.9\% |
| Grand Total | 918 | 6.9\% | 201 | 8.8\% |
|  |  |  |  | 29 |

## The University's Senior Management profile

| Gender | Number of <br> people |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Female |  | 4 |
| Male |  | 7 |
|  | Number of <br> people |  |
| Ethnicity |  | 10 |
| White |  | 1 |
| Other |  |  |


| Age group | Number of <br> people |
| :--- | :--- |
| $40-44$ |  |
| $50-54$ |  |
| $55-59$ | 3 |
| $60-64$ | 4 |


| Religion | Number of <br> Deople |
| :--- | :--- |
| Description |  |
| Christian |  |
| No religion | 5 |
| Unknown |  |

## Students

Other monitoring statistics not included elsewhere in this report.

## Disability

Disabled students by Year and Study Level:

| Year and <br> Study <br> Level | Age Group- Disabled Students |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U21 | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 +}$ |
| UG <br> 2009/10 | 1246 | 253 | 146 | 237 |
| PG <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 / 1 0}$ | 1 | 66 | 44 | 131 |
| Total | 1247 | 319 | 190 | 368 |
| UG <br> 2010/11 | 1294 | 273 | 129 | 235 |
| PG <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 / 1 1}$ | 3 | 71 | 56 | 136 |
| Total | 1297 | 344 | 185 | 371 |
| UG <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 / 1 2}$ | 1284 | 223 | 114 | 234 |
| PG <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 / 1 2}$ | 3 | 72 | 59 | 126 |
| Total | 1287 | 295 | 173 | 360 |

Disabled Students by Year and Gender:

| Year and Study <br> Level | Gender - Disabled <br> Students |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female |
| UG 2009/10 | 928 | 954 |
| PG 2009/10 | 91 | 151 |
| Total | 1019 | 1105 |
| UG 2010/11 | 953 | 978 |
| PG 2010/11 | 101 | 165 |
| Total | 1054 | 1143 |
| UG 2011/12 | 927 | 928 |
| PG 2011/12 | 92 | 168 |
| Total | 1019 | 1096 |

## Gender

All Students by Year and Gender:

| Year and Study <br> Level | Gender |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female |
| UG 2009/10 | 5967 | 8054 |
| PG 2009/10 | 1532 | 2508 |
| Total | 7499 | 10562 |
| UG 2010/11 | 6004 | 8000 |
| PG 2010/11 | 1526 | 2959 |
| Total | 7530 | 10959 |
| UG 2011/12 | 5942 | 8040 |
| PG 2011/12 | 1531 | 2894 |
| Total | 7473 | 10934 |

## Equality, Diversity and Inclusion Group

As part of its revised policy on equality, diversity and inclusion the University set up a sub-group to its Executive Board. The members of the group for 2012/2013 are:

Chair
PVC/Dean
Director
Human Resources Business Partner
Head of Student Disability and Dyslexia Service
Head of Student Services
Trade Union Representatives (UCU)
Trade Union Representatives (Unison)
Director of the Centre for Diversity Policy Research and Practice
Oxford Brookes Student Union

Professor Janet Beer
June Girvin
Bill Sturman
Andrew Willis
Pam Bairstow
Keith Cooper
Bob Langridge (UCU) or Dr Alan Reeve (UCU)
Jo Cox (Unison) or Harriet Irvine (Unison)
Dr Simonetta Manfredi

## Abbreviations

A number of abbreviations have been used in this report the full explanation of these is:

| Abbreviation | Full title |
| :--- | :--- |
| AL | Association of Learning Technology |
| ASA | Academic and Student Affairs |
| BH | Faculty of Business |
| DC | Corporate Affairs |
| EFM | Estates and Facilities Management |
| FI | Finance Directorate |
| HLS | Faculty of Health and Life Sciences |
| HSS | Faculty of Humanities of Social Sciences |
| HR | Directorate of Human Resources |
| LR | Learning Resources |
| OBIS | Oxford Brookes Information Services |
| SM | Senior Management Team |
| SU | Students Union |
| TDE | Faculty of Technology Design and Engineering |

## Contact Details

Comments or queries about this report are welcomed and should be sent to the postal or email address below, for the attention of the HR Business Partner (Equality and Diversity).

HR Business Partner
Directorate of Human Resources
Block A
Oxford Brookes University
Wheatley Campus
Wheatley
Oxford
OX33

Tel: 01865485929
Minicom: 01865485928
Email: eod@brookes.ac.uk

